1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 BOB DAWSON, et al., 8 CASE NO. 2:20-cv-00604-RSL 9 Plaintiffs, v. 10 ORDER STAYING DISCOVERY AND ESTABLISHING BRIEFING PORCH.COM INC., et al., 11 **SCHEDULE** 12 Defendants. 13 14 This matter comes before the Court on the "Joint Status Report" submitted by the 15 parties. Dkt. # 90. Having reviewed the report and heard the arguments of counsel, the 16 Court finds as follows: 17 A stay of discovery while the legal issues related to the autodialer claims are 18 resolved is necessary to promote efficiency and avoid undue burden and expense. Fed. R. 19 Civ. P. 26(c). Defendants have identified "a real question" regarding the viability of 20 plaintiffs' autodialer claims, and plaintiffs have not shown that they will be prejudiced if a 21 stay is ordered. Wood v. McEwen, 644 F.2d 797, 802 (9th Cir. 1981). 22 23 24 25 26

Defendants shall, within thirty days of the date of this Order, file their motion to dismiss. The motion shall be noted for consideration by the Court on May 5, 2023. ¹ Defendants shall include in their motion any defense or objection that is currently available to them. ORDER STAYING DISCOVERY AND ESTABLISHING **BRIEFING SCHEDULE - 1**